FILED

2015 MAR 18 PM 3: 30

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS **AUSTIN DIVISION**

VESTEAN	DISTRICT	OF TEXAS
BY	VW DEFENSE	

Jesu: Plair	s Parra, atiff			
-V\$-	Case No.: 1:15-cv-030-ss			
	DEX FREIGHT SERVICES, INC., endant			
	SCHEDULING ORDER			
	Pursuant to Rule 16, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court issues the following			
sched	duling order:			
1.	A report on alternative dispute resolution in compliance with Local Rule CV-88 shall be filed			
	by April 20, 2015			
2.	The parties asserting claims for relief shall submit a written offer of settlement to opposing			
	parties by March 17, 2015, and each opposing party shall respond, in			
	writing, by April 17, 2015 All offers of settlement are to be private,			
	not filed, and the Court is not to be advised of the same. The parties are further ORDERED			
	to retain the written offers of settlement and responses as the Court will use these in			
	assessing attorney's fees and court costs at the conclusion of trial.			
3.	Each party shall complete and file the attached "Notice Concerning Reference to United			
	States Magistrate Judge" on or before April 22, 2015			

States Magistrate Judge" on or before

	by October 30, 2015	
E		
5.	Any party asserting claims for affirmative relief shall disclose its designation of potential	
	witnesses, testifying experts, and proposed exhibits by serving, not filing, the designation on	
	all parties by November 18, 2015. Any party resisting claims for relief shall	
	disclose its potential witnesses, testifying experts, and proposed exhibits, and shall serve, not	
	file, the disclosure on all parties by December 18, 2015 All designations of	
	rebuttal experts shall be served, not filed, within 15 days of receipt of the report of the	
	opposing expert.	
	Any witness who will present any opinion in trial is considered an expert, and the	
	disclosure must contain a written summary of the witness's testimony in an expert report.	
	The Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 standard is not applicable to this paragraph; it does not make any	
	difference whether or not the expert witness is a "retained expert," as any opinion or	
	testimony of any expert not contained in the expert report will not be permitted at trial.	
6.	An objection to the reliability of an expert's proposed testimony under Federal Rule of	
	Evidence 702 shall be made by motion, specifically stating the basis for the objection and	
	identifying the objectionable testimony, within eleven (11) days of receipt of the written	
	report of the expert's proposed testimony or within eleven (11) days of the expert's	
	deposition, if a deposition is taken, whichever is later.	
7.	The parties shall complete all discovery on or before December 18, 2015	
	Counsel may by agreement continue discovery beyond the deadline, but there will be no	

intervention by the Court except in extraordinary circumstances, and no trial setting will be vacated because of information obtained in post-deadline discovery.

- 9. This case is set for docket call October 28, 2016, at 11:00 a.m. and trial in the month of November 20/Atdocket call, the parties shall submit a short, one paragraph statement of the parties' contentions, voir dire questions, proposed jury instructions and verdict forms, exhibit and witness lists, and any motions in limine.

SIGNED this the 18 day of march 2015.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

AGREED:

Attorney for Paintiff

Attorney for Paintiff

Attorney for Defendan

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

jesus Parra,		,
Plaintiff		
-vs- FEDEX FRI Defendant	EIGHT SERVICES, INC.,	Case No.: 1:15-cv-030-ss
		RNING REFERENCE TO S MAGISTRATE JUDGE
In acc	cordance with the provisions of	28 U.S.C. § 626(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
73, and the L	ocal Rules of the United States	District Court for the Western District of Texas, the
following par	rty:	
through coun	sel:	
hereby (selec	et one):	
0	consents to having a United Sta	ates Magistrate Judge preside over the trial in this case
\circ	declines to consent to trial before a United States Magistrate Judge.	
		Respectfully submitted,
		Attorney for: